

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,095	05/12/2006	Roberto Rosa	290953US0PCT	6748
22850 OBLON SPIV	7590 01/29/200 AK MCCLELLAND 1	8 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAM	INER
1940 DUKE S'	TREET		MULCAHY	, PETER D
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

10/579,095 ROSA ET AL.	;	
/ 1001GA // GOLGE CLING MAGNET	Office Action Summary	
Examiner Art Unit		
Peter D. Mulcahy 1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe eriod for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing.	
Status	tatus	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 October 2007</u> .	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oc	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	<u> </u>	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	isposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-19 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-14,16-19 and 21-24 is/are pending in	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)☐ Claiṃ(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-14,16-19 and 21-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	8) Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-19 and 21-24</u> are subject to re	
Application Papers	pplication Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application from the International Bureau	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	
·		
Attachment(s)	tachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:		

10/579,095 Art Unit: 1796

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8 and 24, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass 423.
  - II. Claims 9-14,16 and 17, drawn to a method, classified in class 524, subclass various.
  - III. Claims 18-19 and 21-23, drawn to a film, classified in class 428, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molded article such as a tire and/or an adhesive and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

10/579,095

Art Unit: 1796

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as masterbatch mixing and/or insitu polymerization.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. The claims are generic to the claimed patentably distinct species of polymers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/579,095

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

1/18/08